Exotic states discovered or not at the B-factories and LHC

Claudia Patrignani



Università di Genova and I.N.F.N. Claudia.Patrignani@ge.infn.it



Cabeo School, Ferrara May 21-26, 2012



Conventional mesons

The simplest colorless configuration with zero baryon number is $q\bar{q}$:

• SU(3):

 $q \bigotimes \bar{q}' = 3 \bigotimes \bar{3} = 8 \bigoplus 1$





The quantum numbers are determined from the relative angular momentum L and the quark spin relative orientation $\vec{s_1}$ and $\vec{s_2}$:



- $P = (-1)^{L+1}$
- $|L-S| \leq J \leq |L+S|$
- C only defined for flavor-less mesons



Naïve picture of quark binding force



The "meat" is in-between!



INF

Naïve potential vs QCD





Quarkonium: charmonium and bottomonium

Heavy quarks \longrightarrow non relativistic

relativistic corrections in $b\bar{b}$ smaller than in $c\bar{c}$ (?)

- Potential models: Cornell (Coulomb +linear term) but also
- Lattice NRQCD, pNRQCD: α_s , m_b/m_c , lattice spacing, ...

 $c\bar{c}$ or $b\bar{b}$ bound states: spectroscopic notation: $n^{2S+1}L_J$

fermion-antifermion: $P = (-1)^{L+1}$ $C = (-1)^{L+S}$

$$\psi(nS), \Upsilon(nS) = n^3 S_1 \qquad \eta_Q(nS) = n^1 S_0$$

$$\chi_{QJ}(nP) = n^3 P_J \qquad h_Q(nP) = n^1 P_1$$



Quarkonium physics

Decay widths: above open $D\overline{D}$ or $B\overline{B}$ threshold dominant decay to heavy mesons unless forbidden by quantum numbers broad states below open $D\overline{D}$ or $B\overline{B}$ threshold, $Q\overline{Q}$ annihilate to gluons (or virtual photon) OZI-rule \longrightarrow narrow states:

- $\psi(nS), \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow ggg, \ \gamma gg \ [\approx \%] \text{ or } \gamma * \ [\ell^+ \ell^- \approx \%]$
- other states decay to ggg or gg depending on J odd/even $\eta_Q(nS), \chi_{Q0}(nP), \chi_{Q2}(nP) \rightarrow gg$ $h_Q(nP), \chi_{Q1}(nP) \rightarrow ggg$ or $gq\bar{q}$
- very few exclusive hadronic modes observed especially in $bar{b}$

Radiative and hadronic transitions:

• photon or gluons radiation from $Q\bar{Q}$ state

multipole expansion if radius \ll wavelength

Spectroscopy:

• fine and hyperfine splitting (spin-dependent terms) mass splitting between n^3S_1 and n^1S_0 depend strongly on α_s



Charmonium and Bottomonium decays





Charmonium spectrum





Bottomonium spectrum





INFN

QCD can allow other color-less states with 0 baryon number:

- glueballs
- $q\bar{q} g$ hybrids
- a variety of 4-quark states:
 - molecules
 - diquark-anti-diquark
 - hadro-quarkonium



Glueballs



Glueballs are bound states of gluons without valence quarks

- masses can be calculated in LQCD
- difficult to identify glueballs with same J^{PC} as conventional mesons
- can mix with $q\bar{q}$ states with same J^{PC}
- states with exotic $J^{PC} = 0^{+-}, 1^{-+}, 3^{-+}$ expected above 4 GeV
- Signatures:
 - flavor democracy in decay
 - no radiative or $\gamma\gamma$ decay



Hybrids

Normal mesons have radial and orbital excitations Hybrids: excitation of gluonic degrees of freedom (or angular momentum in flux-tube model)



Lightest $c\bar{c}g$ predicted at \approx 4200 MeV/ c^2 Natural preference to decay to $J/\psi+$ pions



2+-

1++

1+-

2-+

1---

0+-

1-+

0-+

JPC

DD**

Hadronic molecules

Weakly bound states of mesons (or baryons)

Difficult to make predictions



- short range interactions between mesons L = 0 states
- small binding energy $100 \, \mathrm{keV} \div 10 \, \mathrm{MeV}$ for heavy mesons

$$Rpprox 1\,{
m fm}$$
 $E=rac{1}{2\mu R^2}$

 $\bar{D}_{(s)}^{(*)}D_{(s)}^{(*)}$ and $\bar{B}_{(s)}^{(*)}B_{(s)}^{(*)}$ molecules should have masses close to corresponding thresholds

 \bullet large $\mathcal B{}'s$ to final states with constituent mesons

Not all pairs of mesons will be bound \rightarrow no need to find a new state at each threshold



Diquark-antidiquark (tetraquark)

qq behave as a color $\overline{3}$ and bind tightly to a q (color 3) to form baryons

 $\bar{q}\bar{q}$ behave as a color 3 qq' and $\bar{q}''\bar{q}'''$ can bind tightly to form color singlets



- masses not necessarily close to threshold
- many states, charged and neutral, a nonet for each spin-parity
- neutral states expected to appear in doublets
- decays include both open and hidden charm channels and (if kinematically allowed) baryonium



Hadroquarkonium

Compact $Q\bar{Q}$ bound state or heavy hadron "embedded" into an extended light $q\bar{q}'$ meson

Decay by "undressing" to constituent heavy hadron + light hadrons Naturally explain

- why some states decay to J/ψ and not to $\psi(2S)$
- why decays to open charm/bottom are suppressed





How to identify exotic mesons?

Smoking guns:

quark content and/or quantum numbers not allowed for $(q ar q)_0$

- manifestly exotic quantum numbers: $J^{PC} = 0^{--}, 0^{+-}, 1^{-+}, 2^{+-}, \dots$
- charged charmonium or bottomonium states

not-so-smoking guns:

- over-population of the spectrum
- anomalous decay or production properties

<u>But</u>

- threshold effects, mixing and coupled channels can significantly affect masses and decay widths of conventional quarkonia
- Artifacts (cusps, virtual states, **reflections**) can be mistaken as resonances



Puzzling charmonium-like states





18

INFN

BABAR and Belle



Large samples of $\Upsilon(nS)$ and B mesons

also very large samples of charm mesons and charmonium:

- $\sigma(e^+e^-\rightarrow c\overline{c}) \sim 1.3 \text{ nb}$
- Charm meson and charmonium in b \rightarrow c decays
- charmonium in ISR and γγ processes



running at $\Upsilon(nS)$ formation energy



Low multiplicity, can reconstruct complete events



Charmonium at B-factories





20

NF

Common selection variables for charmonia in B decays

The B mesons are produced in pairs from $\Upsilon(4S)$:





(also named m_{bc} beam constrained mass)

 Δ*E*: difference between expected and reconstructed energy E_B^* should be equal to $E_{beam}^* (= E_{CM}/2)$



- more spherical BB vs jet-like
 cc or light quark pairs
- different angular distribution of the "thrust" axis



Common selection variables for charmonia in ISR or $\gamma\gamma$

ISR: energetic photon radiated by incoming e^{\pm} lowers the CM energy ISR cross section strongly forward peaked, photon often undetected along the beam pipe

- low p_t of reconstructed final state
- missing mass M²_{miss} of reconstructed final state compatible with 0

 $\gamma\gamma$ reactions: virtual photons emitted by beam particles, the outgoing e^{\pm} scattering angle depends on the momentum tranfer For quasi-real photons the scattering angle is small and the scattered $e^+e^$ escape along the beam pipe

- low p_t of reconstructed final state
- large missing mass M²_{miss} of reconstructed final state



LHC experiments





pp collisions at 7 TeV

CMS

Low or moderate luminosity and pile-up





LHCb

ALICE Exclusive final states in high multiplicity environment



Dedicated detectors

Low *p*_T regime

INFN

The discovery of the X(3872)

Narrow peak in $J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass observed by Belle in $B^+ \rightarrow (J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) K^+$ decays BELLE 35 30 Events / (0.005 GeV) 25 20 15 10 5 3,82 3.84 3,86 3,88 3.9 3,92 $M(J/\psi \pi\pi)$ (GeV) PRL 91 (2003) 262001



Confirmation of X(3872)

Soon confirmed by CDF, D0 in inclusive $p\bar{p}$ production

BABAR in B decays

and later also seen by LHCb and CMS in inclusive *pp* production





Mass and width of X(3872)

The mass difference between the X(3872) and the opening of the $D\bar{D}^*$ is crucial for the molecular interpretation But also for other models since vicinity to the threshold affects predictions for \mathcal{B} 's



Mass (average) = $3871.66 \pm 0.18 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ $M(D^0) + M(D^{0*}) = 3871.79 \pm 0.29 \text{ MeV}/c^2$



The X(3872) lineshape

Proximity to the $D\bar{D}^*$ threshold affects lineshape differently in different final states if

- cusp (no resonance)
- molecule
- hadrocharmonium
- di-quark/anti-diquark
- Narrow \longrightarrow peak position shift for J=2





The $X(3872) ightarrow D^0 ar{D}^{*0}$ decay

- Belle updated it's first measurement and find mass compatible to J/ψππ
- Babar studies also DD (finds no signal) and finds a mass ~3MeV higher
- resolution
- background modeling
- lineshape at threshold





INFN

Search for I-spin partner or neutral doublet

If the X(3872) is a tetraquark, it could be a member of a multiplet

 $(cq)(\bar{c}\bar{q}')$



$$rac{\mathcal{B}(B^0 o X^- K^+) \cdot \mathcal{B}(X^- o J/\psi \pi^- \pi^0)}{\mathcal{B}(B^- o X^0 K^-) \cdot \mathcal{B}(X^0 o J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)} pprox 2$$



Belle: search for I-spin partner



more stringent limits

Rule out I-spin triplet models?



30

INFN

Radiative X(3872) decays: $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$

Establish C=+ for the X(3872)



Too large for charmonium?



Radiative X(3872) decays: $X(3872) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\gamma$



BABAR result too large for molecular interpretation



Quantum numbers: angular distribution

CDF: angular distribution	of
$X(3872) ightarrow J\psi\pi^+\pi^-$	PR

RL 98 (2007) 132002

- Allow for $(\pi^+\pi^-)_{S-wave}$ and ρ contributions, with lowest P-conserving L
- Subthreshold $\pi^+\pi^-=\rho$ favored
- $J^P = 2^-$ or 1^+ similar χ^2 prob.

	J^{PC}	decay	LS	χ^2 (11 d.o.f.)	χ^2 prob.
	1++	$J/\psi \rho^0$	01	13.2	0.28
ŀ.	2-+	$J/\psi \rho^0$	11,12	13.6	0.26
,	1	$J/\psi(\pi\pi)_S$	01	35.1	2.4×10^{-4}
	2+-	$J/\psi(\pi\pi)_S$	11	38.9	5.5×10^{-5}
	1^{+-}	$J/\psi(\pi\pi)_S$	11	39.8	3.8×10^{-5}
	2	$J/\psi(\pi\pi)_S$	21	39.8	3.8×10^{-5}
	3+-	$J/\psi(\pi\pi)_S$	31	39.8	3.8×10^{-5}
	3	$J/\psi(\pi\pi)_S$	21	41.0	2.4×10^{-5}
	2^{++}	$J/\psi \rho^0$	02	43.0	1.1×10^{-5}
	1^{-+}	$J/\psi \rho^0$	10,11,12	45.4	4.1×10^{-6}
	0-+	$J/\psi \rho^0$	11		3.5×10^{-17}
	0+-	$J/\psi(\pi\pi)_S$	11	(EDE)	$\leq 1 \times 10^{-20}$
	0^{++}	$J/\psi \rho^0$	00		$\leq 1 \times 10^{-20}$





The $X(3872) ightarrow \omega J/\psi$ decay

 $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decay first reported by Belle (unpublished)

hep-ex/0505037

possibly sub-threshold $\omega \rightarrow$ large l-spin violation

 $rac{\mathcal{B}(X
ightarrow \omega J/\psi)}{\mathcal{B}(X
ightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi)} = 1.0 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3$



confirmed by BABAR in both B^0 and B^{\pm}



$${{{\cal B}(X
ightarrow \omega J/\psi)}\over {{\cal B}(X
ightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi)}} = 0.8 \pm 0.3$$





INF

Impact of angular momentum on $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ lineshape

- S-wave: $P(\chi^2) = 7\%$ vs P-wave: $P(\chi^2) = 62\%$
- Negative parity favored: 2^- favored over 1^+
- consistent with $\eta_{c2}(1D)$??





X(3872) interpretation

- Conventional charmonium
 - $\chi_{c1}(2P) (J^{PC} = 1^{++})$ radiative decay should be two orders of magnitude larger mass off by 100 MeV/ c^2 higher
 - $\eta_{c1}(1D) (J^{PC} = 2^{-+})$ should have large partial width to gg and small partial width to $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^$ mass off by 50 MeV/ c^2
- Tetraquark:

No I-spin partners, no neutral doublet

Mixture of $D^0 \overline{D}^{*0}$ and $\chi_{c1}(2P)$??

• $D^0 \bar{D}^{*0}$ molecule natural explanation for mass almost coincident with threshold $D^0 \bar{D}^{*0}$ decay $J^{PC} = 1^{++} (D^0 \bar{D}^{*0} \text{ in S-wave})$ small $J/\psi\gamma$ can be accomodated but

- too large $\psi(2S)\gamma$ (if confirmed)
- small binding energy \rightarrow large radius, difficult to explain production in high energy pp or $p\bar{p}$ collisions


The $Y(3940) \rightarrow J/\psi\omega$ observed in B decays



 $\mathcal{B}(B \to YK)$ large for 2⁺⁺ in *B* decays. 0⁺⁺, 0⁻⁺???



The $X(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi\omega$ observed in $\gamma\gamma$ reactions

Observed by Belle PRL 104, 092001 (2010) $M = 3915 \pm 3 \pm 2 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ vents/10 Me/ $\Gamma = 17 + 10 + 3 \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{B}(J\psi\omega) = 61 \pm 17 \pm 8 \text{ eV} \quad (J=0)$ 3.85 3.9 3.95 4.15 $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{B}(J\psi\omega) = 18 \pm 5 \pm 2 \text{ eV} \quad (J=2)$ W (GeV) vents / (0.01 GeV/c² Confirmed by BABAR Guttmann - MoriondQCD12 20 $M = 3919.4 \pm 2.2 \pm 1.6 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ $\Gamma = 13 + 6 + 3 \text{ MeV}$ 10 $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{B}(J\psi\omega) = 52 \pm 10 \pm 3 \text{ eV} \quad (J=0)$ $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{B}(J\psi\omega) = 10.5 \pm 1.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ eV} \ (J=2)$ 3.8 3.85 39 3.95 4.05 4.1 4.15 $m(J/\psi\omega)$ (GeV/c²) If $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} = \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ keV})$ (typical $c\bar{c}$), then $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi\omega) > (1-6)\%$ Limit for J = 2 hypothesis of X(3872): $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{B}(J\psi\omega) < 1.7 \text{ eV}$



X(3915) spin determination

• Define θ_L : the angle between the two-photon collision axis and the positive charged lepton in the two-photon rest frame. $l^+ \nearrow$





The $X(3940) \rightarrow D\bar{D}^*$ observed in double $c\bar{c}$ production

Unexpectedly large cross section for $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(c\bar{c}))$ measured by Belle

Observe known 0^{\pm +} states recoiling againts a J/ψ

+ another one



- observed in the recoil of the J/ψ (double $c\bar{c})$...
- The only other states clearly visible have J=0 C=+
 that suggest either χ_{c0}(2P) or η_c(3S)
- $\begin{array}{c} {\sf PRL \ 100:} 202001,2008 \\ {\rm M} = 3942^{+7}_{-6} \pm 6 \ {\rm MeV/c}^2 \end{array}$

 $\Gamma = 37^{+26}_{-15} \pm 8 \ {\rm MeV}$

bserved at

3-factories

- decay to $D\overline{D}^*$ but not to $D\overline{D}$ suggest P=-1 thus hypothesis of $\eta_c(3S)$
- the $3^{1}S_{0}$ mass is predicted at ~4050 or above

not seen in $B \rightarrow DD^*K$



40

double cc

C=+

o far only observed

The $Z(3930) \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ observed in $\gamma\gamma$: $\chi_{c2}(2P)$ candidate



$$M = 3929 \pm 5 \pm 2 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$$

 $\Gamma=29\pm10\pm2\,{\rm MeV}$

 $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} imes \mathcal{B}(D\bar{D}) = 0.18 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.03 \, \mathrm{keV}$

Confirmed by BABAR

 $M = 3926.7 \pm 2.7 \pm 1.1 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma &= 21.3 \pm 6.8 \pm 3.6 \, \mathrm{MeV} \\ \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \times \mathcal{B}(D\bar{D}) &= 0.241 {\pm} 0.054 {\pm} 0.043 \, \mathrm{keV} \end{split}$$

D⁰D⁰ + D⁺D M(DD): 3.91 - 3.95 GeV/c combined \mathcal{B} Events/10 MeV/c² Events/0. M (DD) (GeV/c2) L cos θ*L 20 Entries / 10 MeV/c² Intries / 0.1 10 3.8 0.20.6 0.8 $m(D\overline{D})$ [GeV/c²] lcosθ

Angular distribution strongly favors J = 2: generally identified as $\chi_{c2}(2P)$ candidate Mass lower by $\approx 50 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ but Γ , $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$ and $\mathcal{B}(D\bar{D})$ consistent with expectations Could be interesting to search for $J/\psi\gamma$, $\psi(2S)\gamma$, $\chi_c\pi^+\pi^-$ or $J/\psi\omega$

 $Y(4260) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi \pi$

BABAR searched for states decaying to $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ in ISR process



did not find the X(3872) nor one of its predicted partners but found an unexpected broad state

$$\begin{split} M &= 4259 \pm 8^{+2}_{-6} \ {\rm MeV}/c^2 \\ \Gamma &= 88 \pm 23^{+6}_{-4} \ {\rm MeV} \\ \Gamma_{ee} \cdot \mathcal{B}(J\psi\pi^+\pi^-) &= 5.5 \pm 1.0^{+0.8}_{-0.7} \ {\rm eV} \end{split}$$



The hadronic cross section has a dip at this mass

From the total cross section estimate $\mathcal{B}(Y \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) > 8\%$ $\Gamma(Y \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) > 8 \text{ MeV}$



The Y(4260) is not seen in the inclusive hadronic cross section measurements

It has been searched (and not found) in

- many exclusive $D_{(s)}^{(*)}\overline{D}_{(s)}^{(*)}$ modes
- many exclusive light hadron modes
- pp



CLEO:
$$Y(4260) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$$
 and $Y(4260) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0\pi^0$

Confirmed Y(4260) in ISR PRD-RC 74, 091104 (2006)

$$\begin{split} M &= 4284^{+17}_{-16} \pm 4 \ {\rm MeV}/c^2 \\ \Gamma &= 73^{+39}_{-25} \pm 5 \ {\rm MeV} \\ \Gamma_{ee} \cdot \mathcal{B}(J\psi\pi^+\pi^-) &= 8.9^{+3.9}_{-3.1} \pm 1.8 \ {\rm eV} \end{split}$$

Observed it also in the energy scan in both $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ and $J/\psi\pi^0\pi^0$

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(J/\psi\pi^{0}\pi^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-})}\approx 1/2$$

as expected by I-spin 0

PRL 96, 162003 (2006)





Belle: Y(4260) confirmed, plus new state at Y(4008)?



The $\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum in the Y(4260) region has a peak around $f_0(980)$



BABAR update: Y(4260) and study of $\pi^+\pi^-$ spectrum

BABAR update with the full dataset

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Mass}\;(\mathsf{Y}(4260)) &= 4244 \pm 5 \pm 4 \; \mathsf{MeV}/\mathsf{c}^2 \\ \mathsf{\Gamma}(\mathsf{Y}(4260)) &= 114^{+16}_{-15} \pm 7 \; \mathsf{MeV} \\ \mathsf{\Gamma}_{\mathsf{e}^+\mathsf{e}^-} &\times B(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) &= 9.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.7 \; \mathsf{eV} \end{split}$$

No evidence for Y(4008)



$$\frac{B(Y_{4260} \rightarrow J/\psi f_0(980), f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)}{B(Y_{4260} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-)} = (17 \pm 13)\%$$



The π^+ angle with respect to the J/ψ direction in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ rest frame is consistent with S-wave $\longrightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ system has I=0

fit the $\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution as a coherent sum of NR + $f_0(980)$

mass dependence of f_0(980) amplitude and phase from $D_s^+ \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$ DP analysis



Y(4350) and $Y(4660)
ightarrow \psi(2S)\pi\pi$



- observed by BaBar in ISR $\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
- confirmed by Belle, which finds a significant excess also at 4660 MeV
- no evidence for Y(4260)...
- why are there states decaying to $2^{3}S_{1}$ and not to $1^{3}S_{1}$





hadro-charmonium?

•MB Voloshin arXiv:0711.4556 •Dubynsky, & Voloshin PLB 671 (2009) 82



BABAR update on Y(4350) and Y(4660) $ightarrow \psi(2S)\pi\pi$





$Y(4140) \rightarrow \phi J/\psi$ decay?

CDF studied $B^+ \to J/\psi \phi K^+$ decays and found an excess of events in the $J\psi \phi$

invariant mass at threshold PRL 102, 242002 (2009)

Allowed
$$J^{PC} = 0^{++}, 1^{+-}, 2^{++}$$





LHCb study of $B^+ \rightarrow \phi J/\psi K^+$ decays

LHCb searched for $J/\psi\phi$ resonances in $B^+ \to J/\psi\phi K^+$ (PRD-RC 85, 091103 (2012)





Search for $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow Y(4140) \rightarrow \phi J/\psi$

Belle searched for $\gamma\gamma
ightarrow Y(4140)
ightarrow \phi J/\psi$ PRL 104, 112004 (2010)

No evidence for Y(4140)set upper limits $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi\omega) < 41 \text{ eV} \quad (J=0)$ $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi\omega) < 6 \text{ eV} \quad (J=2)$

Find 3.1 σ evidence for a new structure...



$$M = 4350.6^{+4.6}_{-5.1} \pm 0.7 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \qquad \qquad \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi\omega) = 6$$
$$\Gamma = 13^{+18}_{-9} \pm 4 \text{ MeV} \qquad \qquad \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi\omega) = 1.9$$

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi\omega) = 6.7^{+3.2}_{-2.4} \pm 1.1 \text{ eV} \quad (J=0) \\ & \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi\omega) = 1.5^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \pm 0.3 \text{ eV} \quad (J=2) \end{split}$$



Charged charmonium? $Z(4430)^{\pm}$ from Belle



52

INFN

Search for $Z(4430)^-$ in BABAR

Search in four B decay modes:

 $B^{-\prime 0} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^- K^{0/+}$ $B^{-/0} \rightarrow \psi(2S) \pi^{-}K^{0/+}$

[in the following ψ denotes J/ ψ and ψ (2S)]

- subtract background (sidebands)
- correct for efficiency event by even
- describe in detail the $K\pi^{-}$ system \rightarrow structures in the K π^- mass and angular distributions dominate each Dalitz plot

Project each $K\pi^-$ description onto the relevant $\psi\pi^{-}$ mass distribution to investigate the need for $Z(4430)^{-1}$ signal above this " $K\pi^-$ background"





"Square" Dalitz plot





$K\pi$ reflections and the $Z(4430)^-$



• $m_{\nu\pi}$ peaks at high values because of the asymmetry in the $\cos\theta_{K}$ distributions

- The K* regions dominate, and affect different regions of $\cos\theta_{\psi}$ for J/ ψ and ψ (2S)
- The K* veto removes approximately half of the angular distribution at the Z(4430)-



$K\pi$ description: S, P and D wave intensities

Fit with S- (LASS), P-, and D-wave intensity

Mode	Events	m(K*(892)) (MeV/c2)	Г(K*(892)) (MeV)	S-wave (%)	P-wave (%)	D-wave (%)	
B ⁰→ J /ψπ⁻ K ⁺	57231±561	895.5±0.4	48.9±1.0	15.7±0.8	73.5±0.7	10.8±0.5	compatible with being
Β ⁻ → J /ψπ ⁻ K ⁰ _S	20985±393	892.9±0.8	49.0±1.9	17.0±1.6	72.5±1.3	10.5±1.0	equal
B⁰→ψ(2S)π ⁻ K ⁺	13237±377	895.8±1.0	43.8±3.0	25.4±2.2	68.2±2.0	6.4±1.2	compatible
Β ⁻ →ψ(2S)π ⁻ K ⁰ _S	5016±292	891.6±2.1	44.8±6.0	23.4±4.5	71.3±4.4	5.3±2.7	equal

It is justified to combine the K⁰_S and K⁺ modes



PRD 79, 112001 (2009)



INFN

$B \rightarrow \psi(K\pi)$ S, P and D wave moments

The expression of the angular distribution for $B \rightarrow \psi \pi K$ is complicated (see e.g. S. T'Jampens, Ph.D. Thesis, Universite Paris XI (2002), SLAC-R-838) Integrating over the ψ decay angles: 5 observables, 7 amplitudes, $N = S_0^2 + P_0^2 + D_0^2 + P_{\perp 1}^2 + D_{\perp 1}^$

> Complete $K\pi$ amplitude analysis of DP not possible without making assumptions

$$P_{1}^{U} = \frac{S_{0}P_{0}\cos\left(\delta_{S_{0}} - \delta_{P_{0}}\right) + 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}P_{0}D_{0}\cos\left(\delta_{P_{0}} - \delta_{D_{0}}\right)}}{+\sqrt{\frac{6}{5}}\left[P_{+1}D_{+1}\cos\left(\delta_{P_{+1}} - \delta_{D_{+1}}\right) + P_{-1}D_{-1}\cos\left(\delta_{P_{-1}} - \delta_{D_{-1}}\right)\right]}$$

$$\langle P_2^U \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} P_0^2 + \sqrt{\frac{10}{7}} D_0^2 + \sqrt{2} S_0 D_0 \cos\left(\delta_{S_0} - \delta_{D_0}\right) - \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \left(P_{+1}^2 + P_{-1}^2\right) + \frac{5\sqrt{10}}{28} \left(D_{+1}^2 + D_{-1}^2\right)\right]$$

$$\langle P_{3}^{U} \rangle = \frac{3\sqrt{\frac{6}{35}}P_{0}D_{0}\cos(\delta_{P_{0}} - \delta_{D_{0}})}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{35}}} - 3\sqrt{\frac{2}{35}}\left[P_{+1}D_{+1}\cos(\delta_{P_{+1}} - \delta_{D_{+1}}) + P_{-1}D_{-1}\cos(\delta_{P_{-1}} - \delta_{D_{-1}})\right]$$

For Kπ scattering



 $\langle P_4^U \rangle = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{7} D_0^2 - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{7} \left(D_{+1}^2 + D_{-1}^2 \right)$

Legendre polynomial moments description of $K\pi^-$ angular structure



$K\pi^-$ reflection onto the $\psi\pi^-$ projection

10M events generated flat in $\cos\theta_{K}$ according to the $m_{K\pi}$ - fit function

• Weight each event using Legendre moments: $w_j = 1 + \sum \langle P_i^N \rangle P_i(\cos \theta_{Kj})$

 i^{th} **normalized** moment, obtained from data by linear interpolation





Compare $\psi\pi^$ distribution in data after background subtraction and efficiency correction to what expected from $K\pi^-$ reflections



PRD 79, 112001 (2009)

INF

Belle update on the $Z(4430)^+$

Belle re-analyzed their dataperforming an isobar fit to the Dalitz plot





Direct data comparison

<u>Uncorrected</u> data in the K* veto region



- Both Belle and *BABAR* data are re-binned (to calculate χ^2) and side-band subtracted
- The *BABAR* data are normalized (*1.18) to the Belle sample; Luminosity ratio is 1.46 The data distributions are statistically consistent ($\chi^2=54.7/58$)

More charged states in $B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \pi^+ K^-$

Dalitz plot fit including all known K*: κ, K*(892), K*(1410), K*₀(1430),K*₂(1430),K*(1680),K*₃(1780)





 $Z_1(4050)^+$ and $Z_2(4250)^+$



 $M_{1} = (4051 \pm 14^{+20}_{-41}) \text{ MeV}/c^{2},$ $\Gamma_{1} = (82^{+21}_{-17-22}) \text{ MeV},$ $M_{2} = (4248^{+44+180}_{-29-35}) \text{ MeV}/c^{2},$ $\Gamma_{2} = (177^{+54+316}_{-39-61}) \text{ MeV},$

with the product branching fractions of

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^{0}\!\rightarrow\!K^{-}Z_{1}^{+})\!\times\!\mathcal{B}(Z_{1}^{+}\!\rightarrow\!\pi^{+}\chi_{c1})\!=\!(3.0^{+1.5+3.7}_{-0.8-1.6})\!\times\!10^{-5},\\ &\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^{0}\!\rightarrow\!K^{-}Z_{2}^{+})\!\times\!\mathcal{B}(Z_{2}^{+}\!\rightarrow\!\pi^{+}\chi_{c1})\!=\!(4.0^{+2.3+19.7}_{-0.9-0.5})\!\times\!10^{-5}. \end{split}$$

PRD 78, 072004 (2008)



INFN

BABAR search for $Z_1(4050)^+$ and $Z_2(4250)^+$ in $B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \pi^+ K^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \pi^+ K_S$



Select samples with relatively large

and study DP for signal region and background sidebands

$K\pi$ description in $B^0 \to \chi_{c1}\pi^+K^-$ and $B^+ \to \chi_{c1}\pi^+K_S$

and weight each event by Legendre Y_I^0 polynomials



No evidence from BABAR for $Z_1(4050)^+$ and $Z_2(4250)^+$

Use MC to predict reflections of $K\pi$ mass and angular structures in $\chi_{\rm c1}\pi^+$



No Z's



New: Belle's search for $Z(4430)^+$ in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K\pi$





Signal model for $B \rightarrow J/\psi K \pi$

$$S(s_{\mathsf{x}}, s_{\mathsf{y}}, \varphi_{J/\psi K^*}, \theta_{J/\psi}) = \sum_{\xi=1,-1} \left| \sum_{\lambda=-1,0,1} A_{\lambda} d_{\lambda}^1_{\xi}(\theta_{J/\psi}) \right|^2,$$

where

$$\begin{split} s_{x} &= M^{2}(K, \pi), \ s_{y} &= M^{2}(J/\psi, \pi), \\ \xi \text{ - sum of lepton helicities, } \lambda \text{ - helicity of } J/\psi, \\ A_{\lambda} &= A_{\lambda}^{K^{*}} e^{-i\lambda\varphi_{J/\psi K^{*}}} + A_{\lambda}^{Z_{c}^{+}}. \\ \bar{K}^{*0} &\to K^{-}\pi^{+} \text{ amplitude:} \\ A_{\lambda}^{K^{*}} &= \sum_{K^{*}} a_{\lambda}^{K^{*}} e^{i\phi_{\lambda}^{K^{*}}} A^{K^{*}}(s_{x}, s_{y}) d_{\lambda}^{J(K^{*})}(\theta_{K^{*}}), \\ Z_{c}^{+} &\to J/\psi \pi^{+} \text{ amplitude:} \\ A_{\lambda}^{Z_{c}^{+}} &= \sum_{\lambda'=-1,0,1} a_{\lambda'}^{Z_{c}^{+}} e^{i\phi_{\lambda'}^{Z_{c}^{+}}} A^{Z_{c}^{+}}(s_{x}, s_{y}) d_{0}^{J(Z_{c}^{+})}(\theta_{Z_{c}^{+}}) e^{-i\lambda'\tilde{\varphi}_{J/\psi K^{*}}} d_{\lambda'}^{1} \lambda(\theta_{K^{*}\pi^{+}}), \end{split}$$

Search for Z+ with quantum numbers $J^P = 0^-, 1^\pm, 2^p m$



Fit result: $K\pi$ projections



0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 M²(K,π), GeV²/c⁴



10

INFN

Fit result: $\psi\pi$ projections



 $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(ns)$

Belle searched for non-
$$B_{(s)}^{(*)}\overline{B}_{(s)}^{(*)}$$
 decays of the $\Upsilon(5S)$

The resonance parameters measured in $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ final state differ from the parameters measured in the ratio

$$R_b = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to b - \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-)}$$

interference with continuum? non trivial description of R_b

Bottomonium partner of the Y(4260)?

- anomalously large partial widths $\Gamma(\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-)$ dipion transitions are large also at the $\Upsilon(4S)$
- $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \approx 2 \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(2,3S)\pi^+\pi^-)$





$$\Upsilon(5S) o \pi^+\pi^- X$$

Belle studied the inclusive dipion transitions at the $\Upsilon(5S)$ study of the distribution of the invariant mass recoiling against $\pi^+\pi^-$

$$MM_{\pi^+\pi^-} = \sqrt{(E_{beam}-E_{\pi^+\pi^-})^2-(ec{p}_{beam}-ec{p}_{\pi^+\pi^-})^2}$$

Fit the large smooth background and subtract






$\Upsilon(5S) \to \pi^+\pi^- X$: observation of $h_b(1P)$ and $h_b(2P)$





INFN

$h_b(1P)$ and $h_b(2P)$

Deviations from CoG of χ_{bJ} masses $h_b(1P)$ 1.62 ± 1.52 MeV/c² $h_b(2P)$ 0.48 $^{+1.57}_{-1.22}$ MeV/c² PRL 108, 032001, (2012)

Ratio of production rates

Process with spin-flip of heavy quark is not suppressed

- exotic ?
- rescattering? Simonov JETP Lett 87,147(2008), D. Bugg, arXiv:1101.1659

No h_b signal at $\Upsilon(4S)$



Substructures in $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_b(nP)$?

Plot of invariant mass recoiling against π^{\pm}





75

INFN

More substructures in $\Upsilon(5S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(nS)$? Dalitz plot of $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ show horizontal bands Plot of invariant mass recoiling against π^\pm (squared) vs invariant mass recoiling against $\pi^+\pi^-$ (squared)



Exclude regions contaminated by photon conversions and fit assuming contributions from

- *Z*_{b1}, *Z*_{b2} (BW)
- NR $\pi^+\pi^-$ (S-wave)
- f₀(980) (Flatté)
- f₂(1275) (BW)

arXiv:1110.2251

$Z_b(10610)$ and $Z_b(10660)$?

Final state	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$	$h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$
$M[Z_b(10610)], {\rm MeV}/c^2$	$10611\pm4\pm3$	$10609\pm2\pm3$	$10608\pm2\pm3$	$10605 \pm 2^{+3}_{-1}$	10599^{+6+5}_{-3-4}
$\Gamma[Z_b(10610)], \text{ MeV}$	$22.3 \pm 7.7^{+3.0}_{-4.0}$	$24.2 \pm 3.1^{+2.0}_{-3.0}$	$17.6 \pm 3.0 \pm 3.0$	$11.4^{+4.5+2.1}_{-3.9-1.2}$	13^{+10+9}_{-8-7}
$M[Z_b(10650)], {\rm MeV}/c^2$	$10657\pm 6\pm 3$	$10651\pm2\pm3$	$10652\pm1\pm2$	$10654 \pm 3^{+1}_{-2}$	10651^{+2+3}_{-3-2}
$\Gamma[Z_b(10650)], MeV$	$16.3 \pm 9.8^{+6.0}_{-2.0}$	$13.3 \pm 3.3^{+4.0}_{-3.0}$	$8.4\pm2.0\pm2.0$	$20.9^{+5.4+2.1}_{-4.7-5.7}$	$19\pm7^{+11}_{-7}$
Rel. normalization	$0.57 \pm 0.21^{+0.19}_{-0.04}$	$0.86 \pm 0.11 \substack{+0.04 \\ -0.10}$	$0.96 \pm 0.14^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$	$1.39 \pm 0.37 \substack{+0.05 \\ -0.15}$	$1.6^{+0.6+0.4}_{-0.4-0.6}$
Rel. phase, degrees	$58 \pm 43^{+4}_{-9}$	$-13\pm13^{+17}_{-8}$	$-9 \pm 19^{+11}_{-26}$	187^{+44+3}_{-57-12}	$181^{+65+74}_{-105-109}$

In all modes the two peaks have the same mass and width

Large decay to both h_b (P singlet) and Υ (S-vector)



arXiv:1110.2251

$Z_b(10610)$ and $Z_b(10660)$ quantum numbers?



Since $\Upsilon(5S)$ has negative G-parity, the Z_b 's would have positive G-parity



78

INFN

It's been fun to do spectroscopy in the past 10 years!

Every time people looked to an exclusive final state with quarkonia+light hadrons in a different process found a new state

... and sometime two!

Some of these states might "disappear", some will be recognized to be the same state, but most of them are there to stay

Interplay between experiment and theory to sort out what's going on

In the process we could learn how to handle QCD corrections a bit further away from the easy limits

XYZ samples at B-factories have size of at most hundreds

For some of these states in some of these modes LHC(b) will have \times 10 or 100!

